

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/960,258 09/21/2001		Paramvir Bahl	MS1-937US	4336		
22801	7590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER			
LEE & HAY		REFAI, RAMSEY				
SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE SUITI WA 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
-			2154	2154		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/960,258		BAHL ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	iry	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ramsey Re	fai	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this co. Period for Reply	mmunication ap				ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM. - Extensions of time may be available under the prafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lift the period for reply specified above is less than. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1. nis communication. In thirty (30) days, a repairmum statutory period for reply will, by statut months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, bly within the statuto will apply and will e te, cause the applica	however, may a reply be ry minimum of thirty (30) xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tion to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered tim om the mailing date of this DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status					
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 03 J	lanuary 2005.			
2a) This action is FINAL .	· ·	s action is nor	ı-final.		
3) Since this application is in con	dition for allowa	ance except fo	r formal matters, ¡	prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the	practice under	Ex parte Quay	/le, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in	n the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-18</u>		•	rawn from conside	eration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,19-26 and 38-40</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/	or election req	uirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that ar	ny objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	cluding the correc	ction is required	if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Offi	ice Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None	e of:		-	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the p	-			action No	
2. Copies of the sortified a	•		• •		ol Stago
 Copies of the certified c application from the Inte 	•	•		eived in this mationa	ai Stage
* See the attached detailed Office		•	• • •	ived.	
AM-share M.N					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			\	on (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	eview (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date				al Patent Application (P	TO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office	Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail	D. J. 00050400

Application/Control Number: 09/960,258 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Responsive to Response to Restriction/Election Requirement received January 3, 2005.

Claims 8-18, 27-37, and 41-44 are canceled

Applicant elects Group 1: 1-7, 19-26, and 38-40.

Claims 1-7, 19-26, and 38-40 are now presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 19-23, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,406).
- 4. As per claim 1, Chang et al teach a method for broadcasting an announcement signal, comprising:

broadcasting a network identifier signal that uniquely identifies a computer network (column 5, lines 40-60 and column 7, lines 7-17);

broadcasting an authorizer signal that identifies an authorizer network address on the computer network, the authorizer network address being associated with an authorizer that is

Application/Control Number: 09/960,258

Art Unit: 2154

configured to authorize mobile clients to utilize the computer network (column 5, lines 40-60

and column 7, lines 7-17); and

broadcasting a verifier signal that identifies a verifier network address on the computer

Page 3

network, the verifier network address being associated with a verifier that is configured to verify

data packets sent by mobile clients utilizing the computer network (column 7, lines 7-17 and

column 28-30).

5. As per claim 2, Chang et al teach that each signal is broadcast periodically (column 8,

lines 19-23).

6. As per claim 3, Chang et al teach a network identifier signal, the authorizer signal and the

verifier signal are broadcast together in an announcer signal (column 5, lines 40-60 and column

7, lines 7-17).

7. As per claim 4, Chang et al teach the authorizer network address and the verifier network

address are Internet Protocol (IP) addresses (column 7, lines 7-17).

8. As per claim 5, Chang et al teach the verifier is preferred verifier, and the method further

comprises substituting a network address of an alternate verifier for the network address of the

preferred verifier (column 7, lines 18-47, column 1, lines 47-67, and column 8, lines 19-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

9. As per claim 19-23, these claims contain similar limitations as claims 1-5 above, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

10. As per claim 38, Chang et al teach a system, comprising:

a network identifier; an authorizer identifier; a verifier identifier (column 7, lines 10-15 and column 5, lines 40-60);

a signal generator configured to generate a signal that communicates the network identifier, the authorizer identifier and the verifier identifier (column 5, lines 40-55 and column 8, lines 40-55).

- 11. As per claim 39, Chang et al teach a memory that stores the network identifier, the authorizer identifier and the verifier identifier (column 7, lines 7-17 and column 14, lines 9-10).
- 12. As per claim 40, Chang et al teach a receiver configured to accept the network identifier, the authorizer identifier and the verifier identifier as input data (column 5, lines 40-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2154

- 14. Claims 6-7 and 24-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,406) and Hulthen et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,073,016).
- 15. As per claim 6 Chang et al fails to teach determining if the preferred verifier has reached a load threshold.
- 16. However, Hulthen et al teach determining when a host computer that reaches a maximum number of sessions (column 11, lines 5-10). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Chang et al and Hulthen et al because Hulthen et al's use of determining if a computer has reached a threshold in Chang et al's method would provide a load balancing feature for detecting if verifiers have reached a maximum load and then substituting an alternate verifier address to redirect all other inquires to the new verifier.
- 17. As per claim 7, Hulthen et al teach fail to teach detecting a preferred verifier failure.
- 18. However, Hulthen et al teach determining when a host computer that reaches a maximum number of sessions (column 11, lines 5-10). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Chang et al and Hulthen et al because Hulthen et al's use of determining if a computer has reached a threshold in Chang et al's method would provide a load balancing feature for detecting if verifiers have reached a maximum load and then substituting an alternate verifier address to redirect all other inquires to the new verifier.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,258

Art Unit: 2154

19. As per claims 24-25, they contain similar limitations as claims 6-7 above, therefore are

Page 6

rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Bahl et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,834,341)
- b. Bender (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,735)
- c. Bantz et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,519,706)
- d. Taketsugu (U.S. Patent No. 5,812,949)
- e. Jakobsen et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,374,108)
- f. Das et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,742,036)
- g. Zhang (U.S. Patent No. 6,845,094)
- h. Mizutani et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,731,621)
- i. Greenspan et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,516,191)
- j. La Porta et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,007)
- k. Shimono (U.S. Patent No. 6,877,104).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Refai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,258

Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey Refai Examiner Art Unit 2154

RR April 9, 2005

> JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TO SHOOLOGY CENTER 2100